

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE                   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/780,239                                | 02/16/2004                    | Loyal M. Johnson JR. | Phelps US-43        | 9667             |  |
| 27104<br>FENNEMORE                        | 7590 05/17/2007<br>CRAIG P.C. |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 1700 Lincoln Street |                               |                      | KASTLER, SCOTT R    |                  |  |
| SUITE 2625<br>DENVER, CO 80203            |                               |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| ŕ   |                               |                      | 1742                |                  |  |
|   |                               |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   |                               |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |                               |                      | 05/17/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
|   |   | 10/780,239   | JOHNSON, LOYAL M.                                     |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Scott Kastler  | 1742  |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address                                 |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 April 2007</u> .  |  |   |  |  |  |
| •   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |   |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4)🖂   | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-27</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |   |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠   | 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23</u> is/are allowed.  |  |   |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) 18-22 and 24-27 is/are rejected.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| •   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 8)  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.  |   |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 9)[   | The specification is objected to by the Examiner  | <b>.</b> .   |   |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).                       |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).      |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.                  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1'2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage                         |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.                                    |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|   | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da   |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Inform   | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date  | 5) Notice of Informal Pa   |   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/780,239

Art Unit: 1742

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 18, 19, 21 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fuchita'324. Fuchita'324 teaches a method of forming ultra fine particles (which are below 1 micron in diameter, see col. 6 line 61 for example, and therefore meet the instant definition of a nano-particle, which is defined by the instant specification at page 1 as particles below 1 micron in diameter) which may be silver (see col. 8, lines 32-33), by vaporizing, or evaporating a precursor silver material in a crucible (22) inductively (through the use of inductive heater 24), and then mixing the vaporized precursor material with an inert process gas in a mixing region (21), drawing the mixture into an inlet end of a conduit (31) where the process gas cools the precursor and precipitates a silver nano-particle material, which is then separated and collected on substrate (42), where the process is conducted at a pressure between 0.1 and 6 torr (see col. 6, lines 5-10 for example, where the process is conducted at 0.3 torr), thereby showing all aspects of the above claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 10/780,239

Art Unit: 1742

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Fuchita'324. As applied to claim 18thatr above, Fuchita'324 shows all aspects of the above

claims except the use of a heating temperature of between 1600 and 2000 °C or the use of

nitrogen as the process gas, although Fuchita'324 allows for the use of any heating temperature

sufficient to evaporate or vaporize the precursor material and specifically allows for any desired

type of inert process gas (see col. 8 lines 35-37 for example). It has been well settled that where

no new or unexpected result is shown to arise from the use of a specific composition or range

within a broader range disclosed by the applied prior art as equally useful, motivation to select

any value or composition within the disclosed prior art range would have been a modification

prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. See

MPEP 2144.05. In the instant case, absent any demonstrated new or unexpected result arising

therefrom, motivation to employ any heating range allowing for evaporation of the precursor

material, as required by Fuchita'324, including temperatures between 1600 and 2000 °C, and the

use of any inert gas, including nitrogen for the inert process gas of Fuchita'324, would have been

modifications obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 23 is allowed.

Response to Arguments

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/780,239

Art Unit: 1742

Applicant's arguments filed on 4/4/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that Fuchita does not disclose drawing vaporized material into an inlet, but rather sucking ultrafine particles into the inlet is not persuasive because as stated in the above rejection Fuchita clearly states that evaporated material is drawn into the inlet (see col. 1 line 45 and col. 2 line 11 for example, as well as claim 1). Applicant's further argument that Fuchita does not disclose the manufacture of silver nano particles is not persuasive because again as stated in the above rejections, Fuchita specifically recites that sliver particles may be produced (col. 8 lines 35-38 for example) and that the particles not the grain size is in the nano particle range (see col. 1 lines 5-15 for example where it is stated that ultra fine particles below 1 micron in diameter are produced). Applicant's further argument that Fuchita constitutes non-analogous art is not persuasive because as stated above Fuchita produces the same material in the same way as instantly claimed. Finally, Applicant's argument that the instantly claimed temperatures and gases, although within that which is broadly disclosed as useable by Fuchita is not obvious is not persuasive because as stated above, it has been well settled that where no new or unexpected result is shown to arise from the use of a specific composition or range within a broader range disclosed by the applied prior art as equally useful, motivation to select any value or composition within the disclosed prior art range would have been a modification prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. See MPEP 2144.05. In the instant case, absent any demonstrated new or unexpected result arising therefrom, motivation to employ any heating range allowing for evaporation of the precursor material, as required by Fuchita'324, including temperatures between 1600 and 2000 °C, and the use of any inert gas, including

Application/Control Number: 10/780,239

Art Unit: 1742

nitrogen for the inert process gas of Fuchita'324, would have been modifications obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,239 Page 6

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742